SECTION 2

Performance Evaluation of PHNS/SPHM

Inclusion Criteria: Minimum of 2 years service in the MOH area

Exclusion Criteria: Documented breach of discipline and any disciplinary action

(Code of conduct to be considered when nominating)

Stage I - Screen at district level using monthly statements

Criteria :-

- No. of supervisions conducted during last 12 months >=70% of the expected norm. (Data source monthly returns of PHNS/SPHM)
- ii) % of supervisory reports available is >= 60% of expected supervisions. (Data source monthly returns of PHNS/SPHM)
- iii) 60% of the supervision reports are of office & field supervisionsPHNS/SPHM who have fulfilled the above criteria will be selected and nominatedto the respective RDHS division. Thereafter, Stage II assessment will be done atdistrict level.

Stage II – District selection using evaluation tool

Work performance of selected PHNSS/SPHMM will be assessed using the evaluation tool. (Annex A)

A district team comprising of any 3 members from RDHS, Provincial CCP (if available), MOMCH, RE, any MOH, RSPHNO, and Nursing Tutor (PH) will perform this assessment on PHNS/SPHM.

Based on the marks of stage II, the final mark will be decided and a common merit list will be formed.

Those who score the highest marks will be rewarded.

Tool to evaluate performance of PHNS/SPHM (at RDHS level) Section A – Maps and charts related to Family Health and vital statistics

No		Grade	Marks allocated	Marks obtained				
1	1 Area map (Updated area map displayed on the board according to the guidelines)							
1.1	Not smaller than A4 size	Yes / No	Yes=2 / No=0					
1.2	PHM/SPHM/PHNS areas Yes / No Yes=2 / No= demarcated							
1.3	Offices of the PHMM indicated in the map with the populationYes / NoYes=2 / No=4							
1.4	Clinic centers and institutions Yes / No Yes=2 / No=0 are indicated							
1.5	Updated annually Yes / No Yes=2 / No=0		Yes=2 / No=0					
	Sub Total		10					
2	Vital Statistics							
2.1	Updated Vital Statistics (CBR, M District and National level by PI MOH area	y Yes / No	Yes=4 / No=0					
2.2	Figures of important 5 MCH inc displayed by PHM area (e.g.: LB Immunization coverage etc.)	Yes / No	Yes=4 / No=0					
	Sub Total		8					
3	MCH Charts2maDisplayed important five MCH performanceperindicators by bar charts for the current yearchaand the previous year by PHM areacha			10				
4	Advance Programme Approved Advance Programme for the month is displayed			2				
Sub Total								
Total marks								

Section B – Details of PHMM under supervision of PHNS/SPHM							
No			Gr	ade	Marks allocated	Marks obtained	
3.1	Separate files are maintained for eac PHM	ch	If 100% (if less than that - 3 marks)		8marks		
3.2	Basic information on all PHMM us her supervision are available	nder	If 100% (if less than that - 3 marks)		6 marks		
3.3	Supervisions are planned for all PH based on identified problems	IMM	If 100% (if less than that - 3 marks)		6 marks		
	Total ma	rks			20		
Sect	ion C – Supervisions during	last qua	arter				
No			ans of ication	Grade	Marks allocated	Marks obtained	
4.1	% of supervisions done	No of supervisions/ target	in of	>80%	5	1	
4.1				60-79%	4		
				50-59%	3		
				30-49%	2		
				<29%	0		
4.2	% of field / office supervisions out of all supervisions done	Monthly statement supervisio	nthly	>40%	5		
4.2			ement &	30-39%	3		
				<30%	0	1	
4.3	% of clinics supervised	Monthly statement & supervision		>30%	5		
4.5				20-29%	3]	
			<20%	0]		
4.4	No. of supervisions on field weighing posts	Monthly state ment & superv sion		3	5		
т.т				2	3		
			ion	1	1	1	
4.5	No. of postpartum supervisions	supervision re- ports		6	5		
4.3				4	3		
				2	1		
1.0	Fallers			>50%	5		
4.6	Follow-up supervisions conducted (of the planned)	supervision re- ports		30-49%	3	1	
			<29%	0	-		
	Total marks					1	

Sect	Section D (a) - Availability of supervision reports and files							
No			Means of verificationGrade		Marks allocated	Marks obtained		
5.1	Separate files for supervision of each PHM/SPHM available	Fi	les of PHM	Yes No	5 0			
5.2	% of supervision reports available (Select a quarter with least distur- bance to the routine work)	Sı	upervision reports	75-100% 50-74% 25-49% <24%	10 7 4 0			
5.3	% of supervision notes submit- ted with diary to MOH with the supervisor's recommendations	Sı	upervision reports	>75% 50-74% <49%	10 7 3			
5.4	Summary of progress for each PHM available		HMM files	Yes No	5 0			
	Total ma				30			
Sect	tion D (b) - Quality of supervisi	1						
	Availability of comprehensive supervision notes – check for following data in randomly selected 5 supervision notes							
6.1	Objective of the supervision		If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		3 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.2	Supervisions done according to the	plan	plan If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.3	In depth evaluation done		If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.4	Documents are cross checked for da comparison	ata	If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.5	Field supervisions are included		If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.6	Positive and negative aspects identif	fied	If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.7	Recommendations made according the findings	to	If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.8	Action plans prepared with PHM at end of supervision	t the	he If available in 4 or more If available in 3 If available in < 3		4 marks 2 marks 0 marks			
6.9	Follow up actions done		If available in If available in If available in	4 marks 2 marks 0 marks				
	Total marks							

Sec	Section E - Maintenance of eRHMIS							
No		Means of verification	Grade	Marks allocated	Marks obtained			
7.1	Accuracy of data check 10 random indicators in H 524	eRHMIS	100%	5				
			85-99%	4				
	11 02 1		70-84%	3				
			<70%	2				
7.2	Timeliness of data submitted by PHM Diary	Relevant records	Yes	5				
H5 set	H 524 H527 sent before 5th of following month		No	0				
7.3	Cross-checked for validity and accuracy of data in H 524, H 527	H 524, H 527 (check 10 random data entries from all entries)	1 mark for one data	10				
	Feedback given to PHMM on above records	PHMM files, minutes of local & monthly conferences, supervision notes	Yes	5				
			No	0				
7.5	Organization and	Minutes of local &	Good	5				
	contribution on data maintenance at local conferences	monthly conferences	Poor	0				
	Total N	30						

Section F - Capacity building of PHMM						
No		Means of verification	Grade	Marks allocated	Marks obtained	
8.1	Performance evaluation of PHMM/SPHMM	Master Sheet /PHM records	Yes/ No	Yes=10 No=0		
8.2	Regular performance evaluation done (quarterly)		Yes/no	Yes=10 No=0		
8.3	PHMM are categorized according to their performance	Documented appraisal system is available	Yes/no	Yes=10 No=0		
8.4	Identified training needs of PHMM	Quarterly Need as- sessments	Yes/no	Yes=10 No=0		
8.5	Training programmes conducted during a quarter	Documents	Yes/no	one mark for each program (Maximum 10 marks)		
Total	Marks	50				
Addit	ional marks for covering up du	25				
TOTA	AL	250				

Page 212

No		Means of verification	Grade	Marks allo- cated	Marks obtained
9.1	Blood drawing for VDRL and other antenatal testing	Monthly statement	Yes/ No	Yes=5 No=0	
9.2	Insertion of IUD	Monthly statement	Yes/ No	Yes=5 No=0	
9.3	Obtaining of Pap smears	Monthly statement	Yes/no	Yes=5 No=0	
9.4	Organizing and conducting special clinics	Diary	Yes/no	Yes=5 No=0	
9.5	Conduct of volunteer train- ing programs	Records	Yes/no	Yes=5 No=0	
9.6	Establishment of model vil- lage, model clinic etc.	Records	Yes/no	Yes=5 No=0	
9.7	Logistic Management of micronutrients/ FP items and printed forms	Records	Yes/no	Yes=5 No=0	
9.8	Infant death Investigation % of infant death investiga- tions done up to date (within 2 weeks of reporting)	Reports	100% 75-99% 50-74% <49%	5 3 2 1	
9.9	Contribution at Monthly conference	Reports	Good poor	5 1	
9.10	Organizing and contribution at local conferences	Reports	Good poor	5 1	
Total	Marks			50	
GRA	GRAND TOTAL 300				
Category Total marks obtained					
SPHN	I	•••••			
PHNS	8		1		